



REPUBLIC OF NAMIBIA

MINISTRY OF EDUCATION, ARTS AND CULTURE

Executive Summary of Bid Evaluation Report

**BID NUMBER G/ONB/10-01/2023-2024 – DESKTOP COMPUTERS, LAPTOPS,
NETWORK LASERJET PRINTERS FOR THE MINISTRY OF EDUCATION, ARTS
AND CULTRE**

**Scope of Contract SUPPLY AND DELIVERY OF DESKTOP COMPUTERS,
LAPTOPS, NETWORK LASERJET PRINTERS**

1. Procurement method used: **Open National Bidding**
2. Date of Invitation of Bids: **24 November 2023**
3. Closing date for submission of bids: **19 January 2024 at 10h00**
4. Date and place of opening of bids: **19 January 2024 at 10h00**
5. Number of bids received by the closing date: **29**

HOI

6. Responsiveness of bids:

No.	Name of Bidder (in alphabetical order)	Bid Price in NAD	Discount
1	Acunam Information Technology (Pty) Ltd	23,150,092.25	-
2	Altus Information Communication Technology cc	26,265,852.34	-
3	Bluesky Technologies cc	27,178,496.25	-
4	Business Connexion Namibia (Pty) Ltd	27,478,100.00	-
5	Conley Investment cc	33,356,430.80	-
6	Datacom Technologies cc	31,196,740.00	-
7	Dimension Data Namibia (Pty) Ltd	28,203,887.88	-
8	Domain Technologies cc	28,952,213.05	-
9	Exponent Technology Namibia (Pty) Ltd	41,378,306.69	-
10	G Mobility Investment Technology cc	Desktop	24,300,806.40
		Monitor	5,069,126.40
		40 Pro book	728,603.20
		40 pro Book	611,347.36
		Printers	1,154,542.50
		Licenses	75,344.78
11	Green Enterprise Solutions (Pty) Ltd	26,908,666.29	-
12	HLS Investment cc	27,722,155.99	-
13	Naya Investment cc	22,389,350.00	-
14	Oak Investments cc	29,594,100.00	-
15	Omsylo Trading cc	46,099,348.45	-
16	Ovalamba General Services cc	29,697,134.94	-
17	Penit Stationers and Investment cc	20,750,763.88	-
18	Performance Development Centre cc	32,456,206.55	-
19	Petabyte Investment cc	Option 1	22,186,605.00
		Option 2	18,268,900.00
		Option 3	23,028,566.00
20	PHP Interactive IT Solutions cc	27,011,775.00	-

HOI

No.	Name of Bidder (in alphabetical order)	Bid Price in NAD	Discount
21	Prestige Computers cc	32,968,159.46	-
22	Salt Essential IT (Pty) Ltd	23,165,830.00	-
23	Selcouth Trading Enterprises cc	43,337,336.00	-
24	Shine Technologies Solutions (Pty) Ltd	28,488,576.37	-
25	Sirka Investment cc	26,722,728.92	-
26	Syntex Technologies (Pty) Ltd	27,589,353.30	-
27	Trion Technology Solutions cc	28,023,812.72	-
28	Twinrain Technologies Solutions (Pty) Ltd	23,219,555.70	-
29	Virtua Technologies (Pty) Ltd	27,460,960.58	-

HOI

No.	Name of Bidder (in alphabetical order)	Responsive or Not Responsive (Yes/ No)	Reasons why the bid is not responsive
1	Acunam Information Technology (Pty) Ltd	YES	-
2	Altus Information Communication Technology cc	NO	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidders completed the bid submission form (page 40) as per ITB 13.1, however, the bidders did not attach a certified copy of ID or Certified copies of a valid passport of representatives who signed the bidding documents as per the Evaluation criteria item 13 page 33 of the bidding document. The bidders who provided IDs submitted IDs belonging to either the owners or sales representatives, who are not the individuals who signed the bidding documents
3	Bluesky Technologies cc	NO	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -PRICING
4	Business Connexion Namibia (Pty) Ltd	NO	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidders completed the bid submission form (page 40) as per ITB 13.1, however, the bidders did not attach a certified copy of ID or Certified copies of a valid passport of representatives who signed the bidding documents as per the Evaluation criteria item 13 page 33 of the bidding document. The bidders who provided IDs submitted IDs belonging to either the owners or sales representatives, who are not the individuals who signed the bidding documents

1601

No.	Name of Bidder (in alphabetical order)	Responsive or Not Responsive (Yes/ No)	Reasons why the bid is not responsive
5	Conley Investment cc	NO	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • bidder did not meet the minimum 80% technical specification requirement to proceed to Phase 2 b of technical criteria. • The bidder did not attach a letter of undertaking to deliver within 90 days after placement of order.
6	Datacom Technologies cc	NO	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • bidder did not meet the minimum 80% technical specification requirement to proceed to Phase 2 b of technical criteria. • - The bidder only provided one written testimonial of Contactable References of Similar Services from institutions where such services have been rendered.
7	Dimension Data Namibia (Pty) Ltd	NO	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bidders completed the bid submission form (page 40) as per ITB 13.1, however, the bidders did not attach a certified copy of ID or Certified copies of a valid passport of representatives who signed the bidding documents as per the Evaluation criteria item 13 page 33 of the bidding document. The bidders who provided IDs submitted IDs belonging to either the owners or sales representatives,

Handwritten signature

No.	Name of Bidder (in alphabetical order)	Responsive or Not Responsive (Yes/No)	Reasons why the bid is not responsive
			who are not the individuals who signed the bidding documents
8	Domain Technologies cc	NO	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • bidder did not meet the minimum 80% technical specification requirement to proceed to Phase 2 b of technical criteria. • - Company was registered in 2022, falling in a category of 2-3 years • The bidder did not attach a letter of undertaking to deliver within 90 days after placement of order.
9	Exponent Technology Namibia (Pty) Ltd	NO	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Bidder did not initial page 69 of the bidding document. ○ Bidders completed the bid submission form (page 40) as per ITB 13.1, however, the bidders did not attach a certified copy of ID or Certified copies of a valid passport of representatives who signed the bidding documents as per the Evaluation criteria item 13 page 33 of the bidding document. The bidders who provided IDs submitted IDs belonging to either the owners or sales representatives, who are not the individuals who signed the bidding documents-
10	G Mobility Investment Technology cc	NO	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bidder did not Sign nor initial on page 49.

KoI

No.	Name of Bidder (in alphabetical order)	Responsive or Not Responsive (Yes/ No)	Reasons why the bid is not responsive
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidder did not complete the price schedule on page 48&49 of the bidding document.
11	Green Enterprise Solutions (Pty) Ltd	NO	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidders completed the bid submission form (page 40) as per ITB 13.1, however, the bidders did not attach a certified copy of ID or Certified copies of a valid passport of representatives who signed the bidding documents as per the Evaluation criteria item 13 page 33 of the bidding document. The bidders who provided IDs submitted IDs belonging to either the owners or sales representatives, who are not the individuals who signed the bidding documents
12	HLS Investment cc	NO	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidders completed the bid submission form (page 40) as per ITB 13.1, however, the bidders did not attach a certified copy of ID or Certified copies of a valid passport of representatives who signed the bidding documents as per the Evaluation criteria item 13 page 33 of the bidding document. The bidders who provided IDs submitted IDs belonging to either the owners or sales representatives,

1/20/1

No.	Name of Bidder (in alphabetical order)	Responsive or Not Responsive (Yes/No)	Reasons why the bid is not responsive
			who are not the individuals who signed the bidding documents
13	Naya Investment cc	NO	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The bidder's Bid submission form as per ITB 13.1 is incomplete however the bidder substituted the bid submission form with their own contravening the instructions in the bidding document page (40).
14	Oak Investments cc	NO	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidders completed the bid submission form (page 40) as per ITB 13.1, however, the bidders did not attach a certified copy of ID or Certified copies of a valid passport of representatives who signed the bidding documents as per the Evaluation criteria item 13 page 33 of the bidding document. The bidders who provided IDs submitted IDs belonging to either the owners or sales representatives, who are not the individuals who signed the bidding documents
15	Omsylo Trading cc	NO	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -PRICING
16	Ovalamba General Services cc	NO	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> bidder did not meet the minimum 80% technical specification requirement to proceed to Phase 2 b of technical criteria.

No.	Name of Bidder (in alphabetical order)	Responsive or Not Responsive (Yes/No)	Reasons why the bid is not responsive
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The bidder did not attach a letter of undertaking to deliver within 90 days after placement of order.
17	Penit Stationers and Investment cc	NO	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> bidder did not meet the minimum 80% technical specification requirement to proceed to Phase 2 b of technical criteria. The bidder did not attach a letter of undertaking to deliver within 90 days after placement of order. The Bidder attached a fitness certificate that expired on the 4th of January 2024. -
18	Performance Development Centre cc	NO	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -PRICING
19	Petabyte Investment cc	YES	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
20	PHP Interactive IT Solutions cc	NO	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Bidders completed the bid submission form (page 40) as per ITB 13.1, however, the bidders did not attach a certified copy of ID or Certified copies of a valid passport of representatives who signed the bidding documents as per the Evaluation criteria item 13 page 33 of the bidding document. The bidders who provided IDs submitted IDs belonging to either the owners or sales representatives,

No.	Name of Bidder (in alphabetical order)	Responsive or Not Responsive (Yes/ No)	Reasons why the bid is not responsive
			<p>who are not the individuals who signed the bidding documents</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The bidder did not attach Power of Attorney Authorization Letter and the attached ID is for the owner of the company. • The bidder did not sign the Price Schedule on Page 49 of the bidding document.
21	Prestige Computers cc	NO	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bids did not meet the technical criteria required to proceed to Phase 3 of Financial Compliance due to the absence of the manufacturer authorization letter as specified in ITB (20.1 a). for Lot 1, Lot 2 and Lot 4
22	Salt Essential IT (Pty) Ltd	NO	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • - The Bidder completed the bid submission form (page 40) as per ITB 13.1, however bidder did not attach a certified copy of ID or Certified copy of a valid passport of representative who signed the bidding document. • The bidder did not attach Power of Attorney Authorizations Letter and did not attach an ID at all.

No.	Name of Bidder (in alphabetical order)	Responsive or Not Responsive (Yes/No)	Reasons why the bid is not responsive
23	Selcouth Trading Enterprises cc	NO	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bidders completed the bid submission form (page 40) as per ITB 13.1, however, the bidders did not attach a certified copy of ID or Certified copies of a valid passport of representatives who signed the bidding documents as per the Evaluation criteria item 13 page 33 of the bidding document. The bidders who provided IDs submitted IDs belonging to either the owners or sales representatives, who are not the individuals who signed the bidding documents • individuals who signed the bidding documents
24	Shine Technologies Solutions (Pty) Ltd	NO	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bidders completed the bid submission form (page 40) as per ITB 13.1, however, the bidders did not attach a certified copy of ID or Certified copies of a valid passport of representatives who signed the bidding documents as per the Evaluation criteria item 13 page 33 of the bidding document. The bidders who provided IDs submitted IDs belonging to either the owners or sales representatives, who are not the individuals who signed the bidding documents

1/01

No.	Name of Bidder (in alphabetical order)	Responsive or Not Responsive (Yes/ No)	Reasons why the bid is not responsive
25	Sirka Investment cc	YES	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • -
26	Syntex Technologies (Pty) Ltd	NO	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bidders completed the bid submission form (page 40) as per ITB 13.1, however the bidders did not attach a certified copies of ID's or Certified copies of a valid passports of representatives who signed the bidding documents. The bidders who provided IDs submitted IDs belonging to either the owners or sales representatives, who are not the individuals who signed the bidding documents
27	Trion Technology Solutions cc	NO	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • -PRICING
28	Twinrain Technologies Solutions (Pty) Ltd	NO	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • - Bidders completed the bid submission form (page 40) as per ITB 13.1, however, the bidders did not attach a certified copy of ID or Certified copies of a valid passport of representatives who signed the bidding documents as per the Evaluation criteria item 13 page 33 of the bidding document. The bidders who provided IDs submitted IDs belonging to either the owners or sales representatives, who are not the individuals who signed the bidding documents

No.	Name of Bidder (in alphabetical order)	Responsive or Not Responsive (Yes/ No)	Reasons why the bid is not responsive
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Bidder did not initial Page 41 of the bidding document.
29	Virtua Technologies (Pty) Ltd	NO	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bidders completed the bid submission form (page 40) as per ITB 13.1, however, the bidders did not attach a certified copy of ID or Certified copies of a valid passport of representatives who signed the bidding documents as per the Evaluation criteria item 13 page 33 of the bidding document. The bidders who provided IDs submitted IDs belonging to either the owners or sales representatives, who are not the individuals who signed the bidding documents individuals who signed the bidding documents

7. BEST EVALUATED BID:

Bid Description	BIDDER'S NAME	BID AMOUNT
Lot 1: Procurement of Entry Level Desktop Computers for the	Petabyte Investment cc	NS 21,252,000.00


1/10/1

Ministry of Education, Arts and Culture		
Lot 2: Procurement of Entry Level Laptop (15.6 Inches) for the Ministry of Education, Arts and Culture	Acunam Information Technology (Pty) Ltd	NS 557,060.00
Lot 3: Procurement of Network LaserJet Laptop for the Ministry of Education, Arts and Culture	Acunam Information Technology (Pty) Ltd	NS 160,816.00
Lot 4: Procurement Kaspeky Antivirus Software for the Ministry of Education, Arts and Culture	Sirka Investment cc	NS10,267.20

8. RECOMMENDED:

BID DESCRIPTION: G/ONB/10-01/2023-2024 PROCUREMENT OF DESKTOP COMPUTERS, LAPTOPS, NETWORK LASERJET PRINTERS AND ANTIVIRUS SOFTWARE LICENSES FOR THE MINISTRY OF EDUCATION, ARTS AND CULTURE

Bid Description	BIDDER'S NAME	BID AMOUNT
Lot 1: Procurement of Entry Level Desktop Computers for the Ministry of Education, Arts and Culture	Petabyte Investment cc	N\$ 21,252,000.00
Lot 2: Procurement of Entry Level Laptop (15.6 Inches) for the Ministry of Education, Arts and Culture	Acunam Information Technology (Pty) Ltd	N\$ 557,060.00
Lot 3: Procurement of Network LaserJet Laptop for the Ministry of Education, Arts and Culture	Acunam Information Technology (Pty) Ltd	N\$ 160,816.00
Lot 4: Procurement Kaspesky Antivirus Software for the Ministry of Education, Arts and Culture	Sirka Investment cc	N\$ 10,267.20



27-05-2024

MR. Knox O. Imbuwa
CHAIRPERSON:PROCUREMENT COMMITTEE