

GENERAL COMMENTS

There was some improvement in this component. The competences of the candidates were very satisfactory. However, some examiners could not follow the guidelines on how to conduct this component.

COMMENTS ON INDIVIDUAL QUESTIONS

Section 1: Presentations

- Candidates were expected to give a detailed presentation of the main research topic. E.g. explaining the research topic, where they went to do the research, their sources of information, challenges experienced and their findings in detail. Some centres were able to do their presentations well.
- However, there were signs of monologue whereby candidates were left to present for almost the whole session without the intervention of the examiner.
- Some examiner could not give candidates chance to present their findings as examiner starts posing questions from the beginning to the end.

Section 2: Makuyongo/Discussions

- Most of the candidates were able to discuss their findings with the examiners based on the questions that examiners were asking.
- Some candidates showed some competences on how to answer the questions and able to elaborate in detail about the research topics.
- Some candidates could not be able to discuss more on the question asked, so they needed prompts.
- It was observed that some examiners posed questions which were not related to the candidate's research topic.
- Some examiners could not ask critical questions that could allow candidates to respond in detail.

POSITIVE SUGGESTIONS

Some examiners conducted the interviews in a friendly and calm manner.

Some examiners asked open-ended questions which allowed candidates to respond at length.

Examiners should train candidates on how to conduct the speaking and listening test.

It could be best if examiners make some prompts on candidates to motivate them to continue with their conversation during discussions.

Thank you all for your effort and patience!